even consulting the people who are paying land revenue to that village?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.—

A.—30. Yes. It is understood that the raiyats affected have appealed to the Revenue Commissioner against the grant of the land.

MR. DYAVAPPA GOWDA (Belur Taluk).—

Q.—31. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) The number of subjects and interpellations received during the Budget and Dasara Sessions of the Assembly of 1928?

(*b*) The number of subjects and interpellations received during the two Sessions of 1929, 1930 and the June Session of 1931?

(*c*) The number of subjects and interpellations discussed and disposed of in each Session during the said period?

(*d*) The number of subjects and interpellations that were not discussed but lapsed in each year during the said period?

(*e*) What final orders were passed by Government on the subjects and interpellations that were not discussed each year during the said period?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT.—

A.—31. (*a*) to (*d*) *Vide* statement appended (Appendix X).

(*e*) Subjects not considered lapse under Rule 4 (2) of the Representative Assembly Rules. Interpellations are not discussed.

MR. H. B. GUNDAPPA (Hassan Taluk).—

Q.—32. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) How many notices were issued for the recovery of land revenue in the years 1929-30 and 1930-31 in the Manjarabad Taluk?

(*b*) How many sales took place for arrears and non-payment of revenue there?

(*c*) How many distraint warrants were issued? [Details of (*a*), (*b*) and (*c*) to be for two years, yearwar.]